

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/28/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/843,922	04/30/2001	Masayuki Fukumura	4001-0003CIP	2336
26111 75	90 11/28/2006	EXAMINER		
-	SSLER, GOLDSTEIN &	KELLY, ROBERT M		
	RK AVENUE, N.W.	1 nm in im	D. DED WOODED	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1633	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/843,922	FUKUMURA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Robert M. Kelly	1633		

Defere the Filing of an Annual Duick			•				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Robert M. Kelly	1633					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear		-	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>10/23/06</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICA							
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: 	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o se with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires 8 months from the mailing date							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (1) TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi te of the final rejection, of	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>28 September 2006</u>. A of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a) appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply), or any extension thereof (37 CF)	R 41.37(e)), to avoid (dismissal of the				
AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below.	(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	: .	,	,				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☑ wi vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>16-18 and 22</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .			and the second second second second second				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a North day the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under apper and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a l).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.			nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). <u>10/28/0</u>)				
		de Cedai	las				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the rejections under 35 USC 103(a) based on US Pat No 6,514,728 should be withdrawn, as Applicant claims priority to a Japanese document as the earliest filed priority document. Such is not persuasive, as was originally stated in the Final Rejection of 3/28/06, as Applicant has not supplied an english translation of the Japanese priority document, and therefore the priority is not perfected. Until such time as an English translation is provided, the Examiner cannot determine if Applicant deserves such priority for the full scope rejected by the '728 patent rejections. Such was previously noted in the Final rejection of 3/28/06. Hence, until such time as Applicant perfects the priority with an English translation and attestation that the document is an accurate translation of the Japanese document, the rejection remains.

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicant has requested that the IDS filed 7/28/04 be signed and returned to Applicant, noting further that another Application's IDS was submitted with the last Advisory Action. The Examiner has reviewed the filings in the Application, and has found that there is an IDS for Application No. 09/837,266 in the file, which was sent with the previous Advisory Action, however, no other IDS is present. The Examiner cannot determine if Applicant meant to file such IDS on 7/28/04 and mislabeled the serial number on the form, or if this IDS is misfiled. It is recommended that if Applicant wishes another IDS to be considered, that they file the IDS they wish to have had considered with the references required for consideration. It is also noted that while the references in the 10/28/05 are considered and initialed, those references that are not in proper form are cancelled, as the Artisan could not obtain such references, and as such they could not be listed on the face of any patent issued from this Application.